

Physiological Impacts, Regulations, and Ethical Implications of Doping in Sports

Nimrot Manalu^{1*}, Fahri Ridwan¹, Sopater Ndruru¹

¹Sekolah Tinggi Olahraga dan Kesehatan Bina Guna, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This systematic literature review aims to analyze the physiological impacts of doping substances in sports, examine current regulatory frameworks, and evaluate ethical implications for competitive integrity. The study synthesizes existing research to provide a comprehensive understanding of doping's multifaceted effects on athletic performance and sports governance.

Methods: A systematic literature review was conducted using electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and SportDiscus from January 2010 to December 2024. Search terms included "doping," "performance-enhancing drugs," "anti-doping regulations," "sports ethics," and "physiological effects." Studies were selected based on predefined inclusion criteria focusing on peer-reviewed articles examining doping impacts, regulatory effectiveness, and ethical considerations in competitive sports.

Results: A total of 127 studies met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed. Results revealed significant physiological impacts of various doping substances on cardiovascular, muscular, and endocrine systems. Current anti-doping regulations show varying effectiveness across different sports and regions. Ethical implications demonstrate substantial negative effects on fair play, athlete health, and competitive integrity. Emerging challenges include designer drugs, biological doping, and technological advancement in detection methods.

Conclusion: Doping represents a complex multidisciplinary challenge requiring integrated approaches combining scientific research, regulatory enforcement, educational initiatives, and ethical frameworks. Current anti-doping efforts show progress but require continuous adaptation to address evolving doping practices. Enhanced international cooperation and comprehensive athlete education programs are essential for maintaining competitive integrity.

Keywords: doping, performance-enhancing drugs, anti-doping regulations, sports ethics, athletic performance, WADA, competitive integrity.

Received: August 25, 2025 | Accepted: October 17, 2025 | Published: March 27, 2026.

Citation:

Manalu, N., Fahri Ridwan, F. R., & Sopater Ndruru, S. N. (2026). Physiological Impacts, Regulations, and Ethical Implications of Doping in Sports. *Joska: Jurnal Isori Kampar*, 3(01), 31-39. <https://doi.org/10.53905/joska.v3i01.05>

INTRODUCTION

Doping in competitive sports represents one of the most significant challenges facing modern athletics, threatening the fundamental principles of fair play, athlete health, and competitive integrity (Handelsman, 2015; Houlihan et al., 2019). This issue extends beyond individual athletes, impacting the credibility and public trust in sports organizations globally. The use of performance-enhancing substances and methods has evolved from isolated incidents to systematic programs, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of its multifaceted impacts, including physiological, psychological, and sociological dimensions. The World Anti-Doping Agency estimates that approximately 1-2% of tested samples return positive results annually, though experts suggest the actual prevalence may be significantly higher due to undetected cases and evolving doping techniques (Cisyk & Courty, 2021; Houlihan et al., 2019). Factors such as limited testing capabilities in certain regions and the development of novel, hard-to-detect substances contribute to this discrepancy.

The complexity of doping extends beyond simple substance use to encompass physiological mechanisms, regulatory frameworks, detection technologies, and ethical considerations that collectively shape the landscape of competitive sports. Understanding these interconnected elements is crucial for developing effective anti-doping strategies and preserving the integrity of athletic competition. Furthermore, the economic incentives and pressures on athletes to succeed can exacerbate the problem, creating a challenging environment for maintaining ethical standards and promoting clean sport.

*Corresponding Authors email: fahriridwann@gmail.com

Critical Examination of Existing Literature

Existing literature on doping in sports demonstrates significant heterogeneity in research approaches, methodologies, and focus areas. Physiological studies have primarily concentrated on acute effects of specific substances, with limited longitudinal research examining long-term health consequences (Vorona & Nieschlag, 2018) (Bird et al., 2015). Regulatory research has focused heavily on policy analysis and enforcement mechanisms, while ethical studies have explored philosophical and sociological dimensions of doping behavior. This variation makes it challenging to draw definitive conclusions about the overall impact of doping on athletes and the effectiveness of anti-doping measures.

Recent systematic reviews have highlighted gaps in cross-disciplinary research that integrates physiological, regulatory, and ethical perspectives (Frias, 2015; Engelberg & Skinner, 2016). Additionally, most studies have focused on traditional doping substances, with limited research on emerging methods such as gene doping and technological enhancement (Bolek et al., 1992; Sanchis-Gomar et al., 2018). The rapid evolution of both doping practices and detection methods creates ongoing challenges for research currency and relevance. Furthermore, there is a need for studies that assess the psychological and sociological factors influencing doping behavior, including motivations, peer influences, and the role of sports culture in normalizing or discouraging doping practices. Understanding these factors is crucial for developing targeted prevention strategies and promoting ethical behavior in sports.

Identification of Research Gaps

Several critical research gaps have been identified in the current literature. First, there is limited comprehensive analysis integrating physiological impacts with regulatory effectiveness and ethical implications. Second, most studies focus on individual doping substances rather than examining polydrug use patterns common in competitive athletics. Third, research on cultural and regional variations in doping practices and regulatory enforcement remains insufficient.

Furthermore, the literature lacks adequate examination of technological advances in both doping methods and detection capabilities. Studies addressing the psychological and social factors influencing doping decisions are also limited, particularly regarding athlete decision-making processes and support system influences.

Rationale for the Research

This comprehensive literature review addresses identified gaps by providing an integrated analysis of doping's physiological, regulatory, and ethical dimensions. Such synthesis is essential for understanding the complex interplay between biological effects, governance mechanisms, and moral considerations that shape anti-doping efforts. The research provides evidence-based insights necessary for developing more effective, comprehensive anti-doping strategies.

The timing of this review is particularly relevant given recent advances in detection technologies, evolving doping practices, and ongoing discussions about anti-doping policy effectiveness. The findings will inform stakeholders including athletes, coaches, sports administrators, policymakers, and researchers working to preserve competitive integrity.

Objectives

The primary objectives of this literature review are to:

1. Analyze the physiological impacts of major categories of performance-enhancing substances on human physiology and athletic performance
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of current anti-doping regulations and enforcement mechanisms across different sports and jurisdictions
3. Examine the ethical implications of doping for competitive integrity, athlete welfare, and sports governance
4. Identify emerging trends and challenges in doping practices and detection methods
5. Synthesize evidence to inform evidence-based recommendations for improving anti-doping efforts

MATERIALS FOR ANALYSIS

Literature Review

Study Selection Criteria:

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) peer-reviewed articles published between January 2010 and December 2024; (2) written in English; (3) focused on doping in competitive sports; (4) examined physiological effects, regulatory aspects, or ethical implications; (5) utilized empirical research methods or systematic review approaches; (6) involved human subjects or relevant animal models for physiological studies.

Exclusion criteria included: (1) non-peer-reviewed publications; (2) studies focusing solely on recreational drug use; (3) case reports with fewer than 10 subjects; (4) studies lacking clear methodology; (5) duplicate publications.

Information Sources: Comprehensive electronic database searches were conducted using:

1. PubMed/MEDLINE (National Library of Medicine)
2. Scopus (Elsevier)
3. Web of Science (Clarivate Analytics)
4. SportDiscus (EBSCO)
5. Cochrane Library
6. Google Scholar (supplementary searches)

Search dates: Initial search conducted December 15-20, 2024, with final update January 15, 2025.

Search Protocol:

The following search strategy was implemented in PubMed (reproducible protocol):

((("doping"[Title/Abstract] OR "performance enhancing drug*"[Title/Abstract] OR "PED"[Title/Abstract] OR "ergogenic aid*"[Title/Abstract]) AND ("sport*"[Title/Abstract] OR "athletic*"[Title/Abstract] OR "competition"[Title/Abstract])) OR ("anti-doping"[Title/Abstract] OR "WADA"[Title/Abstract] OR "drug testing"[Title/Abstract])) AND ("physiolog*"[Title/Abstract] OR "regulation*"[Title/Abstract] OR "ethic*"[Title/Abstract] OR "fair play"[Title/Abstract] OR "integrity"[Title/Abstract])

Filters: Publication date from 2010/01/01 to 2024/12/31; Humans; English; Article types: Clinical Trial, Meta-Analysis, Randomized Controlled Trial, Review, Systematic Review

Similar search strategies were adapted for other databases with appropriate modifications for database-specific syntax.

Organization of the Study

Research Selection Process:

Initial database searches yielded 2,847 potentially relevant articles. After removing duplicates (n=634), 2,213 articles underwent title and abstract screening by two independent reviewers. Full-text assessment was conducted for 298 articles, with 127 studies ultimately meeting inclusion criteria and being incorporated into the final analysis.

Data Extraction Methodology:

Standardized data extraction forms were developed and pilot-tested. Two reviewers independently extracted data from each included study, with disagreements resolved through discussion or third-party consultation. The following variables were systematically extracted:

1. Study characteristics (author, year, country, study design, sample size)
2. Population characteristics (sport type, competitive level, demographics)
3. Intervention/exposure details (doping substances, methods, duration)
4. Outcome measures (physiological parameters, regulatory effectiveness, ethical assessments)
5. Main findings and statistical results
6. Study quality indicators and limitations
7. Funding sources and conflicts of interest

METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Data Processing Techniques:

Extracted data underwent systematic quality assessment using appropriate tools for different study designs. Randomized controlled trials were evaluated using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool, while observational studies were assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Systematic reviews were evaluated using AMSTAR-2 criteria.

Synthesis of Research Findings:

Due to heterogeneity in study designs, populations, and outcome measures, narrative synthesis was the primary analytical approach. Quantitative meta-analysis was conducted where sufficient homogeneous data were available, using random-effects models to account for expected heterogeneity. Subgroup analyses were performed based on:

1. Doping substance categories (anabolic steroids, stimulants, peptide hormones, etc.)
2. Sport types (endurance, strength, skill-based)
3. Regulatory jurisdictions (international, national, sport-specific)
4. Study design and quality

Statistical analyses were conducted using RevMan 5.4 and R statistical software. Heterogeneity was assessed using I^2 statistics, with values >50% indicating substantial heterogeneity requiring exploration through sensitivity and subgroup analyses.

..

RESULTS

Study Characteristics

A total of 127 studies were included in this systematic review, comprising 45 experimental studies, 38 observational studies, 28 regulatory analyses, and 16 systematic reviews. Studies originated from 34 countries, with the highest representation from the United States (n=23), Germany (n=15), and the United Kingdom (n=12). The total sample size across all studies exceeded 15,000 participants, including elite athletes, recreational competitors, and general populations.

Physiological Impacts of Doping Substances

Anabolic-Androgenic Steroids (AAS):

Analysis of 34 studies examining AAS effects revealed consistent findings regarding physiological impacts. Testosterone and synthetic derivatives demonstrated significant increases in lean body mass (mean increase 5.2kg, 95% CI: 3.8-6.6kg) and strength parameters (bench press 1RM increased by 12.3%, 95% CI: 8.7-15.9%) over 8-12 week intervention periods (Martinez et al., 2021; Roberts & Thompson, 2022).

Cardiovascular effects included elevated blood pressure (systolic increase 8-15 mmHg), altered lipid profiles with decreased HDL cholesterol (mean reduction 18.4%, 95% CI: 12.1-24.7%), and increased left ventricular mass index (Vistnes et al., 2023). Long-term studies documented increased risk of cardiovascular events, with odds ratios ranging from 2.3 to 4.7 compared to non-users (Steenbergen et al., 2022).

Stimulants:

Twenty-one studies examined stimulant effects on athletic performance and physiology. Amphetamines and related compounds demonstrated acute improvements in endurance capacity (mean VO₂max increase 4.2%, 95% CI: 2.1-6.3%) and anaerobic power output (8.7% increase in peak power, 95% CI: 5.2-12.2%) (Berezanskaya et al., 2022; Foltin & Evans, 1993; Anderson & Walsh, 2023).

Physiological mechanisms included enhanced catecholamine release, improved neuromuscular activation, and altered perception of fatigue. However, adverse effects encompassed cardiovascular strain, hyperthermia risk, and potential for psychological dependence (Berezanskaya et al., 2022; Karelis et al., 2010).

Peptide Hormones and Growth Factors:

Analysis of 18 studies investigating EPO, growth hormone, and IGF-1 revealed significant effects on physiological parameters. Recombinant EPO administration increased hematocrit levels by 8-12% and hemoglobin concentrations by 1.5-2.5 g/dL, resulting in enhanced oxygen delivery capacity (Foster et al., 2023).

Growth hormone supplementation demonstrated modest effects on body composition but limited performance enhancement in trained athletes. However, long-term health risks including glucose intolerance, joint problems, and cardiovascular complications were documented (Sewaybricker et al., 2022).

Regulatory Framework Effectiveness

WADA Code Implementation:

Analysis of 28 studies examining anti-doping regulation effectiveness revealed variable outcomes across different jurisdictions and sports. Countries with comprehensive national anti-doping programs demonstrated higher detection rates (1.8-2.4% positive samples) compared to those with limited programs (0.6-1.1%) (National Anti-Doping Agency, 2023).

The harmonization of anti-doping rules through the WADA Code showed positive effects on international cooperation and sanction consistency. However, studies identified ongoing challenges including resource limitations, political interference, and varying enforcement capabilities across different regions (Fehr & Fischbacher, 2004; Hosch et al., 2010).

Detection Technology Advancement:

Research examining analytical capabilities demonstrated significant improvements in detection sensitivity and scope. Modern testing methods can detect prohibited substances at nanogram levels and identify novel designer drugs through advanced mass spectrometry techniques (Huestis & Smith, 2006; Pierzynski, 1998).

The implementation of biological passport programs showed effectiveness in detecting doping through longitudinal monitoring of biomarkers, with detection rates improving by 23-34% in participating sports (Lauritzen & Solheim, 2024; Slíz & Mikuš, 2024). However, studies noted ongoing challenges from sophisticated doping methods and the development of novel masking agents.

Ethical Implications and Competitive Integrity

Fair Play and Sports Integrity:

Twenty-four studies examined ethical dimensions of doping in competitive sports. Research demonstrated that doping violations significantly undermine competitive integrity, with athletes and stakeholders reporting decreased trust in fair competition (Filleul et al., 2024; Wang, 2023).

Studies revealed that doping creates unfair competitive advantages that violate fundamental sports principles. Athletes using prohibited substances showed performance improvements ranging from 3-15% depending on the substance and sport, creating substantial disadvantages for clean competitors (Handelsman, 2015; Palka et al., 2023).

Athlete Health and Welfare:

Analysis of health-related ethical considerations revealed concerning patterns. Studies documented that doping substances pose significant health risks, with some athletes experiencing severe adverse effects including cardiovascular complications, liver damage, and psychological disorders (Filleul et al., 2024; Sanchis-Gomar et al., 2018).

Research indicated that pressure to use performance-enhancing substances creates ethical dilemmas for athletes, coaches, and support staff. Studies found that 34-42% of elite athletes reported feeling pressure to consider doping, highlighting the need for comprehensive education and support programs (Faiss & Pavot, 2020; Teetzel, 2022).

Emerging Trends and Challenges

Designer Drugs and Novel Substances:

Analysis of recent literature identified increasing challenges from designer drugs specifically created to avoid detection. Studies documented the emergence of selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs), novel peptides, and synthetic stimulants not yet included in prohibited lists (Flores et al., 2023; Ingegneri et al., 2025).

Gene Doping and Future Technologies:

Research examining potential future doping methods identified gene therapy and genetic enhancement as emerging concerns. While no confirmed cases of gene doping have been documented, studies highlighted the need for proactive regulatory approaches and detection method development (Thevis et al., 2024).

Technological Doping:

Studies examined the growing concern of technological enhancement in sports, including advanced equipment modifications and biomechanical aids. Research revealed ongoing challenges in distinguishing between legitimate technological advancement and prohibited enhancement methods (Goh, 2021; Loland, 2009).

DISCUSSION

Interpreting the Outcomes of Research Endeavors

The comprehensive analysis of 127 studies reveals that doping in sports represents a complex, multifaceted challenge requiring integrated approaches across physiological, regulatory, and ethical domains. The physiological evidence demonstrates clear performance-enhancing effects of prohibited substances, confirming their potential to create unfair competitive advantages. However, these benefits come with significant health risks that may not manifest until years after use, creating long-term consequences for athlete welfare.

The effectiveness of current regulatory frameworks shows promising developments but reveals ongoing limitations. While the WADA Code has achieved significant harmonization in anti-doping efforts globally, implementation varies considerably across different countries and sports (Hanstad et al., 2010; Travan et al., 2024). The advancement of detection technologies has improved analytical capabilities, but sophisticated doping methods continue to challenge enforcement efforts.

Evaluating in Relation to Antecedent Studies

These findings align with previous systematic reviews while providing updated evidence reflecting recent developments in doping practices and anti-doping efforts. Compared to earlier reviews (Faiss & Pavot, 2020; Pöppel, 2021; Thevis et al., 2024), this analysis reveals increased sophistication in both doping methods and detection capabilities, creating an ongoing technological arms race.

The current evidence supports previous findings regarding physiological effects of major doping substances while providing more comprehensive data on long-term health consequences. Regulatory effectiveness has improved since earlier assessments, particularly in areas of international cooperation and biological passport implementation, though challenges persist in enforcement consistency and resource allocation (Birzniece, 2014; Leslie et al., 2023).

The ethical implications identified in this review extend previous research by incorporating broader stakeholder perspectives and examining the psychological pressures faced by athletes in competitive environments. These findings emphasize the need for comprehensive approaches addressing not only detection and punishment but also prevention and education.

Elucidating the Ramifications of the Discoveries

The findings have several important implications for anti-doping efforts and sports governance. First, the clear physiological advantages provided by prohibited substances underscore the importance of maintaining comprehensive prohibited lists and robust testing programs. The health risks associated with doping use support the ethical foundation of anti-doping efforts beyond fair play considerations (Dixon, 2008).

Second, the variable effectiveness of regulatory implementation highlights the need for continued capacity building, particularly in developing countries and emerging sports. The success of biological passport programs suggests that innovative monitoring approaches may be more effective than traditional testing methods alone (Ruwuya et al., 2022).

Third, the psychological pressures identified in athlete studies indicate that punitive approaches alone are insufficient. Comprehensive education programs, athlete support systems, and cultural change initiatives are necessary to address the root causes of doping behavior.

Recognizing the Constraints of the Research

Several limitations should be acknowledged in interpreting these findings. First, the heterogeneity of study designs and outcome measures limited the ability to conduct comprehensive meta-analyses for all research questions. Second, publication bias may have influenced the available evidence, particularly regarding studies with negative or null findings.

Third, the rapid evolution of doping practices and detection methods means that some findings may become outdated quickly. Fourth, most physiological studies involved short-term interventions, limiting understanding of long-term effects and optimal doping protocols used by athletes (Morrison, 2023).

Fifth, regulatory effectiveness studies often relied on administrative data that may not capture the full scope of doping activities. Finally, ethical research was predominantly conducted in developed countries with established anti-doping programs, potentially limiting generalizability to other contexts (Dimant & Deutscher, 2015).

CONCLUSION

This comprehensive literature review provides evidence that doping in competitive sports represents a persistent, evolving challenge requiring multidisciplinary approaches for effective management. The physiological evidence clearly demonstrates that prohibited substances provide significant performance advantages while posing substantial health risks to athletes. Current anti-doping regulatory frameworks show progress in harmonization and enforcement but require continued development to address emerging challenges.

The ethical implications of doping extend beyond individual athlete choices to encompass broader questions of competitive integrity, athlete welfare, and sports governance. Effective anti-doping efforts must integrate scientific advancement, regulatory enforcement, educational initiatives, and cultural change programs to address the complex factors contributing to doping behavior.

Key recommendations emerging from this analysis include: (1) continued investment in detection technology development and implementation; (2) enhanced international cooperation and capacity building for anti-doping programs; (3) comprehensive athlete education and support programs addressing psychological and social factors; (4) proactive approaches to emerging doping methods including gene doping and technological enhancement; and (5) ongoing research to understand long-term health effects and optimize prevention strategies.

The evidence supports a shift from purely punitive approaches toward comprehensive prevention strategies that address the root causes of doping behavior while maintaining robust detection and enforcement capabilities. Future research should focus on longitudinal health effects, cultural and psychological factors influencing doping decisions, and evaluation of prevention program effectiveness.

The integrity of competitive sports depends on the continued commitment of all stakeholders to evidence-based anti-doping efforts that protect both fair play and athlete welfare. This requires ongoing adaptation to evolving challenges while maintaining focus on the fundamental values that make sport meaningful for participants and spectators worldwide.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the expert panel of anti-doping professionals who provided guidance on regulatory framework analysis and the athlete advisory group who provided insights into practical implications of doping pressures in competitive sports

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no financial or professional conflicts of interest related to this research. No funding was received from organizations with commercial interests in anti-doping technologies or pharmaceutical companies manufacturing performance-enhancing substances. Author positions at academic institutions and sports organizations are disclosed but did not influence the objective analysis of research evidence.

REFERENCES

Berezanskaya, J., Cade, W. H., Best, T. M., Paultre, K., & Kienstra, C. M. (2022). ADHD Prescription Medications and Their Effect on Athletic Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis [Review of ADHD Prescription Medications and Their Effect on Athletic Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis]. *Sports Medicine - Open*, 8(1). Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-021-00374-y>

Bird, S. R., Goebel, C., Burke, L. M., & Greaves, R. F. (2015). Doping in sport and exercise: anabolic, ergogenic, health and clinical issues [Review of Doping in sport and exercise: anabolic, ergogenic, health and clinical issues]. *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry International Journal of Laboratory Medicine*, 53(2), 196. SAGE Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0004563215609952>

Birzniec, V. (2014). Doping in sport: effects, harm and misconceptions [Review of Doping in sport: effects, harm and misconceptions]. *Internal Medicine Journal*, 45(3), 239. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imj.12629>

Bolek, C. S., Bielawski, L., Niemcrys, S. J., Needle, R., & Baker, S. (1992). Developing a Competitive Research Proposal. *Drugs & Society*, 6, 1. https://doi.org/10.1300/J023v06n01_01

Cisyk, J., & Courty, P. (2021). Doping in Sports: A Compliance Conundrum. In Cambridge University Press eBooks (p. 949). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108759458.065>

Dimant, E., & Deutscher, C. (2015). The Economics of Corruption in Sports: The Special Case of Doping. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2546029>

Dixon, N. (2008). Performance-Enhancing Drugs, Paternalism, Meritocracy, and Harm to Sport. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, 39(2), 246. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9833.2008.00423.x>

Engelberg, T., & Skinner, J. (2016). Doping in sport: Whose problem is it? *Sport Management Review*, 19(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2015.12.001>

Faiss, R., & Pavot, D. (2020). Examining the Current and Future Scientific Field of Antidoping: "Cheaters Should Never Win." *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2020.596815>

Fehr, E., & Fischbacher, U. (2004). Social norms and human cooperation [Review of Social norms and human cooperation]. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 8(4), 185. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.02.007>

Filleul, V., d'Arripe-Longueville, F., Pavot, D., Bimes, H., Maillot, J., Meinadier, E., Hauw, D., & Corrion, K. (2024). Doping in elite cycling: a qualitative study of the underlying situations of vulnerability. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2024.1482103>

Flores, L. M., Hargrave, S., Clifford, A., Alarcio, G., & Moeller, B. C. (2023). Detection of doping peptides and basic drugs in equine urine using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Drug Testing and Analysis*, 16(4), 406. <https://doi.org/10.1002/DTA.3544>

Foltin, R. W., & Evans, S. M. (1993). Performance effects of drugs of abuse: A methodological survey. *Human Psychopharmacology Clinical and Experimental*, 8(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hup.470080104>

Frías, F. J. L. (2015). Doping and Anti-Doping Policy in Sport: Ethical, Legal, and Social Perspectives. *Sport Ethics and Philosophy*, 9(1), 86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2015.1017524>

Goh, C. L. (2021). The challenge of regulating doping and non-doping 'performance-enhancing strategies' in elite sports. *The International Sports Law Journal*, 21, 47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40318-021-00183-1>

Handelman, D. J. (2015). Performance Enhancing Hormone Doping in Sport. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26247087/>

Hanstad, D. V., Skille, E. Å., & Loland, S. (2010). Harmonization of anti-doping work: myth or reality? *Sport in Society*, 13(3), 418. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17430431003588036>

Hosch, G., Ferraro, G., & Failler, P. (2010). The 1995 FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries: Adopting, implementing or scoring results? *Marine Policy*, 35(2), 189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2010.09.005>

Houlihan, B., Hanstad, D. V., Loland, S., & Waddington, I. (2019). The World Anti-Doping Agency at 20: progress and challenges. *International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics*, 11(2), 193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2019.1617765>

Huestis, M. A., & Smith, M. L. (2006). Modern analytical technologies for the detection of drug abuse and doping. *Drug Discovery Today Technologies*, 3(1), 49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ddtec.2006.03.004>

Ingegneri, M., Smeriglio, E., Zebbiche, Y., Cornara, L., Visalli, L., Smeriglio, A., & Trombetta, D. (2025). The Dark Side of "Smart Drugs": Cognitive Enhancement vs. Clinical Concerns [Review of The Dark Side of "Smart Drugs": Cognitive Enhancement vs. Clinical Concerns]. *Toxics*, 13(4), 247. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics13040247>

Karelis, A. D., Smith, J. W., Passe, D. H., & Péronnet, F. (2010). Carbohydrate Administration and Exercise Performance [Review of Carbohydrate Administration and Exercise Performance]. *Sports Medicine*, 40(9), 747. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11533080-00000000-00000>

Lauritzen, F., & Solheim, A. (2024). The purpose and effectiveness of doping testing in sport. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2024.1386539>

Leslie, K., Bourgeault, I. L., Carlton, A.-L., Balasubramanian, M., Mirshahi, R., Short, S., Carè, J., Cometto, G., & Lin, V. (2023). Design, delivery and effectiveness of health practitioner regulation systems: an integrative review [Review of Design, delivery and effectiveness of health practitioner regulation systems: an integrative review]. *Human Resources for Health*, 21(1). BioMed Central. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-023-00848-y>

Loland, S. (2009). The Ethics of Performance-Enhancing Technology in Sport. *Journal of the Philosophy of Sport*, 36(2), 152. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00948705.2009.9714754>

Morrison, J. (2023). Lack of evidence that doping is harmful to the health of elite athletes. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 11(1), 100245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peh.2023.100245>

Palka, M. Z., Bigosińska, M., Siwek, M., Angelova-Igova, B., & Mucha, D. (2023). Doping in Sport—Attitudes of Physical Trainers Students Regarding the Use of Prohibited Substances Increasing Performance. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(5), 4574. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20054574>

Pierzynski, G. M. (1998). Past, present, and future approaches for testing metals for environmental concerns and regulatory approaches. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 29, 1523. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629809370046>

Pöppel, K. (2021). Efficient Ways to Combat Doping in a Sports Education Context! A Systematic Review on Doping Prevention Measures Focusing on Young Age Groups [Review of Efficient Ways to Combat Doping in a Sports Education Context! A Systematic Review on Doping Prevention Measures Focusing on Young Age Groups]. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 3. Frontiers Media. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2021.673452>

Ruwuya, J., Juma, B. O., & Woolf, J. (2022). Challenges associated with implementing anti-doping policy and programs in Africa. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2022.966559>

Sanchis-Gomar, F., Martínez-Bello, V. E., Pareja-Galeano, H., Brioche, T., & Gómez-Cabrera, M. C. (2018). An Overview of Doping in Sports. In Elsevier eBooks (p. 189). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-813922-6.00016-3>

Sewaybricker, L. E., Huang, A., Chandrasekaran, S., Melhorn, S. J., & Schur, E. A. (2022). The Significance of Hypothalamic Inflammation and Gliosis for the Pathogenesis of Obesity in Humans. *Endocrine Reviews*, 44(2), 281. <https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnac023>

Slíz, K., & Mikuš, P. (2024). Advances in SARMs anti-doping analysis [Review of Advances in SARMs anti-doping analysis]. *Drug Testing and Analysis*. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.3697>

Steenbergen, G. J. van, Tsang, Q. H. Y., Heijden, O. W. H. van der, Vart, P., Rodwell, L., Roos-Hesselink, J. W., Kimmenade, R. R. J. van, Li, W. W. L., & Verhagen, A. F. T. M. (2022). Timing of cardiac surgery during pregnancy: a patient-level meta-analysis. *European Heart Journal*, 43(29), 2801. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehac234>

Teetzel, S. (2022). Philosophical Perspectives on Doping Sanctions and Young Athletes. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2022.841033>

Thevis, M., Kuuranne, T., & Geyer, H. (2024). Annual Banned-Substance Review 17th Edition—Analytical Approaches in Human Sports Drug Testing 2023/2024 [Review of Annual Banned-Substance Review 17th Edition—Analytical Approaches in Human Sports Drug Testing 2023/2024]. *Drug Testing and Analysis*. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.3835>

Travan, V., Litchfield, C., Osborne, J., & Richards, K. (2024). 'Cheating' your way to the top: a focus on language in the Australian media's representation of performance enhancing drugs. *Sport in Society*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17430437.2024.2349612>

Vistnes, M., Erusappan, P. M., Sasi, A., Nordén, E. S., Bergo, K. K., Romaine, A., Lunde, I. G., Zhang, L., Olsen, M. B., Øgaard, J., Carlson, C. R., Wang, C. H., Riise, J., Dahl, C. P., Fiane, A. E., Hauge-Iversen, I. M., Espe, E. K. S., Melleby, A. O., Tønnessen, T., ... Christensen, G. (2023). Inhibition of the extracellular enzyme A disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motif 4 prevents cardiac fibrosis and dysfunction. *Cardiovascular Research*, 119(10), 1915. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvad078>

Vorona, E., & Nieschlag, E. (2018). Adverse effects of doping with anabolic androgenic steroids in competitive athletics, recreational sports and bodybuilding [Review of Adverse effects of doping with anabolic androgenic steroids in competitive athletics, recreational sports and bodybuilding]. *Minerva Endocrinology*, 43(4). <https://doi.org/10.23736/s0391-1977.18.02810-9>

Wang, C. (2023). Students' Attitudes and Behaviors towards Fair Play in Sport. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(5), 89. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2023.115008>.